

M



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
 United States Patent and Trademark Office
 Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/034,093	12/28/2001	Royce D. Jordan JR.	010569	2219
45695	7590	05/15/2006	EXAMINER	
WITHERS & KEYS FOR BELL SOUTH			EL CHANTI, HUSSEIN A	
P. O. BOX 71355				
MARIETTA, GA 30007-1355			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2157	

DATE MAILED: 05/15/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/034,093	Applicant(s) JORDAN, ROYCE D.	
	Examiner Hussein A. El-chanti	Art Unit 2157	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 February 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 6-17 and 19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 6-17 and 19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to RCE received on Feb. 21, 2006. Claims 6-17 and 19 are pending examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 6-17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jordan, U.S. Patent No. 7,035,904.

As to claim 6, Jordan teaches a method for detecting and selectively preventing the forwarding of an electronic message after receipt by the recipient, wherein the electronic message has a unique message identifier, the method comprising:

coding the electronic message to indicate a request to prevent forwarding (see col. 6 lines 12-30);

scanning the electronic message for a request to prevent forwarding (see col. 6 lines 31-37);

storing the message identifier in a blacklist database whenever the request is found (see 6 lines 47-58, the message is flagged and the message flag is stored in a directory); and

blocking forwarding by the recipient of the electronic message that has been received by the recipient when the message identifier of the electronic message being forwarded matches an entry in the blacklist database (see col. 6 lines 37-47, if the message flag expires in the directory, then the message is deleted thereby blocking the forwarding of the message).

As to claim 7, Jordan teaches the method of claim 6, wherein the electronic message includes a message body, and wherein scanning includes searching the message body for a request to prevent forwarding (see col. 6 lines 12-30).

As to claim 8, Jordan teaches the method of claim 7, wherein the electronic message includes a message header, and wherein scanning includes searching the message header for a request to prevent forwarding (see col. 6 lines 12-30).

As to claim 9, Jordan teaches the method of claim 8, further comprising: selecting the electronic message in which to include the request to prevent forwarding (see col. 6 lines 12-30).

As to claim 10, Jordan teaches a system for detecting and selectively preventing the forwarding of an electronic message received by a recipient, wherein the electronic message has a unique message identifier, the method comprising:
means for coding the electronic message to indicate a request to prevent forwarding;
means for scanning the electronic message for a request to prevent forwarding; means for storing the message identifier in a blacklist database whenever the request is found; and means for blocking forwarding by the recipient of the electronic message that has been received by the recipient whenever the message identifier of the electronic

Art Unit: 2157

message being forwarded matches an entry in the blacklist database (see col. 6 lines 12-58).

As to claim 11, Jordan teaches the system of claim 10, wherein the electronic message includes a message body, and wherein the means for scanning includes searching the message body for a request to prevent forwarding (see col. 6 lines 12-30).

As to claim 12, Jordan teaches the system of claim 11, wherein the electronic message includes a message header, and wherein the means for scanning includes searching the message header for a request to prevent forwarding (see col. 6 lines 12-30),

As to claim 13, Jordan teaches the system of claim 12, further comprising: means for selecting the electronic message in which to include the request to prevent forwarding (see col. 6 lines 12-30).

As to claim 14, Jordan teaches a computer-readable medium having stored thereon instructions which, when executed by a processor, cause the processor to perform the steps of: coding an electronic message to indicate a request to prevent forwarding scanning the electronic message for a request to prevent forwarding; storing the a message identifier in a blacklist database whenever the request is found; and blocking forwarding by a recipient of the electronic message once the electronic message has been received by the recipient whenever the message identifier of the electronic message being forwarded matches an entry in the blacklist database (see col. 4 lines 40-61 and col. 2 lines 62-col. 3 lines 7).

As to claim 15, Jordan teaches the medium of claim 14, wherein the electronic message includes a message body, and wherein scanning includes searching the message body for a request to prevent forwarding (see col. 6 lines 12-30).

As to claim 16, Jordan teaches the medium of claim 15, wherein the electronic message includes a message header, and wherein scanning includes searching the message header for a request to prevent forwarding (see col. 6 lines 12-30).

As to claim 17, Jordan teaches the medium of claim 1b, further comprising: selecting the electronic message in which to include the request to prevent forwarding (see col. 6 lines 12-30).

As to claim 19, Jordan teaches a computer readable medium containing instructions that when executed by a computer perform the acts of:

receiving user input for preventing forwarding of one or more electronic mail messages being sent to a recipient; storing matching input in a blacklist database; and upon a subsequent attempt to forward one of the one or more received electronic mail messages by the recipient who has received the one or more electronic mail messages, referencing the user input relative to the matching input in the blacklist database and preventing forwarding by the recipient wherein receiving user input comprises receiving user input included in the header of an electronic mail message being created at a client computer where the user input from the header is referenced upon an attempt to forward the electronic mail message such that when the header of the electronic message being forwarded matches the input in the blacklist database, forwarding of the electronic message is blocked (see col. 6 lines 12-47).

Response to Arguments


3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.
4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hussein A. El-chanti whose telephone number is (571)272-3999. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571)272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hussein Elchanti

May 8, 2006


ARIO ETIENNE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100